CARLSMITH BALL LLP

DAVID LEDGER ELYZE J. MCDONALD Bank of Hawaii Bldg., Suite 401 134 West Soledad Avenue, P.O. Box BF Hagåtña, Guam 96932-5027 Tel No. 671.472.6813

Attorneys for Plaintiff-in-Intervention Inchcape Shipping Services Guam, LLC



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MARWAN SHIPPING & TRADING CO., FIVE SEAS SHIPPING CO., LLC, and S.J. GARGRAVE SYNDICATE 2724, in personam, NAVIGATORS PROTECTION & INDEMNITY, and AL-BUHAIRA NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendants.

AND CROSS CLAIMS, COUNTERCLAIMS, AND CLAIM IN INTERVENTION.

CIVIL CASE NO. CIV06-00011

REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS UPON A FOREIGN CORPORATION (DEFENDANT NAVIGATORS PROTECTION & INDEMNITY; A/K/A NAVIGATORS GROUP, INC.) PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 4(f)(2)(B) AND LETTER ROGATORY

REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE AND LETTER ROGATORY

Writ: Summons in a Civil Action; Amended Complaint In Intervention of Plaintiff-in-Intervention Inchcape Shipping Services Guam, LLC

The United States District Court, District of Guam presents its compliments to the appropriate Judicial Authority of the United Arab Emirates and requests international judicial



assistance to serve process upon NAVIGATORS PROTECTION & INDEMNITY; a/k/a NAVIGATORS GROUP, INC., a defendant in the above captioned civil action currently pending before this Court. This Court requests the assistance described herein as necessary in the interest of justice. It has been represented to this Court that Defendant NAVIGATORS PROTECTION & INDEMNITY; a/k/a NAVIGATORS GROUP, INC. is doing business at the following address:

7TH FLOOR 2 MINSTER COURT, MINCING LANE LONDON, EC3R 7XT ENGLAND

and/or

ROOM 974, LLOYDS, ONE LIME STREET LONDON, EC3M 7HA ENGLAND

The Court respectfully requests that you cause as many copies of the attached documents - Summons in a Civil Action, Amended Complaint In Intervention of Plaintiff-in-Intervention Inchcape Shipping Services Guam, LLC - as is necessary to comply with the laws of the United Kingdom- to be served upon NAVIGATORS PROTECTION & INDEMNITY (A/K/A NAVIGATORS GROUP, INC.) at the above listed addresses in the manner prescribed for service of similar documents under the laws of the United Kingdom.

This Court further requests that, after service has been made, you cause the person who serves the documents upon NAVIGATORS PROTECTION & INDEMNITY (A/K/A NAVIGATORS GROUP, INC.) to execute a Certificate of Service and return it, together with a copy of the documents served, to this Court at the address below.

Case 1:06-cv-00011

Legal counsel for the Plaintiff-in-Intervention Inchcape is prepared to reimburse all reasonable expenses insofar as authorized by applicable law, statute, or treaty, that are incurred in executing this request for international judicial assistance.

This Court also assures your authority that it will reciprocate with similar assistance in like cases. The Court extends to the judicial authorities in the United Kingdom the assurance of its highest consideration.

DATED: Hagåtña, Guam, December ______, 2006.

MARY/L.M. MORAN

United States District Court

District of Guam

4th Floor, U.S. Courthouse 520 West Soledad Avenue

Hagåtña, Guam 96910

United States of America

Telephone: (671) 473-9100

Facsimile: (671) 473-9152



DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM HAGATNA, GUAM

Case 1:06-cv-00011

DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

V

MARWAN SHIPPING & TRADING CO., FIVE SEAS SHIPPING CO., LLC, S.J. GARGRAVE SYNDICATE 2724, in personam, NAVIGATORS PROTECTION & INDEMNITY, and AL-BUHAIRA NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

CASE NUMBER: CIVO6-00011

Defendants.

and CROSS-CLAIMS, COUNTERCLAIMS, and CLAIM IN INTERVENTION.

TO: (Name and address of Defendant)

NAVIGATORS PROTECTION & INDEMNITY
7th Floor
2 Minster Court, Mincing Lane
London, EC3R 7XT
England

NAVIGATORS PROTECTION & INDEMNITY Room 974, Lloyds One Lime Street London EC3M 7HA England NAVIGATORS
PROTECTION &
INDEMNITY

a/k/a Navigators
Group, Inc.
Navigators Corp.
Headquarters
One Penn Plaza
New York, NY

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

David Ledger, Esq.
Elyze J. McDonald, Esq.
CARLSMITH BALL LLP
Suite 401, Bank of Hawaii Building
134 West Soledad Avenue
Hagatna, Guam 96910

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within Thirty (30) days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

Mary L. M. Moran

DEC -6 2006

CLERK.

/s/ Marilyn B. Alcon

DAILE

Page 4 of 1

			RETURN OF SERVICE	10.00	
vice of	I the Summons and	complaint was made l	by me ^(l) DATE		
E OF S	SERVER (PRINT)	···	TITLE		
heck	one box below to	indicate appropriat	te method of service		
С.	Served personally	upon the defendant	Place where sen ed:		
⊏:	Left copies thereo		dwelling house or usual place of abode w	ith a person of suitable age and	
	Name of person w	ith whom the summ	ons and complaint were left:		
	Returned unexecu	ted:			
	Other (specify):				
			STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEE	CS.	
EI.		SER.	VICES	TOTAL	
E.I.					
co	ontained in the Retu	rn of Service and Sta	y under the laws of the United States of attement of Service Fees is true and correct	America that the foregoing information ct.	
co	I declare un ontained in the Retu	rn of Service and Sta	y under the laws of the United States of	America that the foregoing information ct.	-
co	ontained in the Retu	rn of Service and Sta	y under the laws of the United States of attement of Service Fees is true and correct	America that the foregoing information ct.	
co	ontained in the Retu	rn of Service and Sta	y under the laws of the United States of attement of Service Fees is true and correct	America that the foregoing information ct.	
co	ontained in the Retu	rn of Service and Sta	y under the laws of the United States of attement of Service Fees is true and correct Signature of Server	America that the foregoing information ct.	
co	ontained in the Retu	rn of Service and Sta	y under the laws of the United States of attement of Service Fees is true and correct Signature of Server	America that the foregoing information ct.	
co	ontained in the Retu	rn of Service and Sta	y under the laws of the United States of attement of Service Fees is true and correct Signature of Server	America that the foregoing information ct.	
co	ontained in the Retu	rn of Service and Sta	y under the laws of the United States of attement of Service Fees is true and correct Signature of Server	America that the foregoing information ct.	
co	ontained in the Retu	rn of Service and Sta	y under the laws of the United States of attement of Service Fees is true and correct Signature of Server	America that the foregoing information ct.	
co	ontained in the Retu	rn of Service and Sta	y under the laws of the United States of attement of Service Fees is true and correct Signature of Server	America that the foregoing information ct.	

⁽¹⁾ As to was may serve a summons see Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

DAVID LEDGER ELYZE J. MCDONALD Bank of Hawaii Bldg., Suite 401 134 West Soledad Avenue, P.O. Box BF Hagåtña, Guam 96932-5027 Tel No. 671.472.6813

Attorneys for Intervenor Inchcape Shipping Services Guam LLC DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM

NOV 3 0 2006

MARY L.M. MORAN

CLERK OF COURT

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MARWAN SHIPPING & TRADING CO., FIVE SEAS SHIPPING CO., LLC, S.J. GARGRAVE SYNDICATE 2724, in personam, NAVIGATORS PROTECTION & INDEMNITY, and AL-BUHAIRA NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY.

Defendants.

AND CROSS-CLAIMS, COUNTERCLAIMS, AND CLAIM IN INTERVENTION.

CIVIL CASE NO. 06-00011

AMENDED COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION

AMENDED COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION

Intervenor Inchcape Shipping Services Guam LLC ("Inchcape") alleges as follows:

1. This is an admiralty and maritime claim within the jurisdiction of the United States and of this Honorable Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1333, and within the meaning of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule C of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims.



4843-3792-5377.4.014026-00020 Case 1:06-cv-00011 2. Jurisdiction in this Court is also proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1345 and

2201.

3. Jurisdiction in this Court is also proper by way of diversity jurisdiction (28)

U.S.C. § 1332), as the mater in controversy exceeds \$75,000, and is between citizens of the

United States and citizens and/or subjects of foreign states, specifically, the United Kingdom and

the United Arab Emirates ("U.A.E.").

4. The Court also has pendent and/or ancillary jurisdiction over the matters

set forth herein.

5. The conduct giving rise to Inchcape's claims also arose within this judicial

district.

6. The Court has granted Inchcape's motion to intervene pursuant to Federal

Rule of Civil Procedure 24.

7. The Court has also granted Inchcape's Motion to Amend its Complaint-in-

Intervention, allowing the filing of this Amended Complaint-in-Intervention.

8. Inchcape is authorized and licensed to conduct business in Guam.

Inchcape's core business on Guam is to act as a shipping agent for all manner of ocean-going

vessels.

9. Inchcape is informed and believes that Defendant Marwan Shipping &

Trading ("Marwan") is a corporation incorporated and existing under the laws of the U.A.E. with

its principal place of business in Dubai, U.A.E.

10. Inchcape is informed and believes that Defendant Five Seas Shipping &

Trading LLC ("Five Seas") is a corporation incorporated and existing under the laws of the

U.A.E. with its principal place of business in Dubai, U.A.E.

On information and belief, Five Seas and Marwan are the alter egos of 11.

each other. Therefore, Five Seas and Marwan are jointly and severally liable on all claims

asserted herein.

At all times pertinent to this action Marwan and/or Fives Seas, on 12.

information and belief, owned, owned pro hac vice, operated, managed, chartered, or controlled

the vessel AJMAN 2, a foreign flagged and foreign registered vessel.

On information and belief, Defendant Navigators Protection & Indemnity 13.

("Navigators") was and is duly organized and lawfully existing under the laws of the United

Kingdom, with its principal place of business at London, England. Navigators is an insurer in

the business of underwriting marine insurance, particularly protection and indemnity ("P&I")

insurance and excess P&I insurance. On information and belief, Navigators was the excess P&I

insurer for the AJMAN 2 at all times pertinent to this action.

On information and belief, Al-Buhaira National Insurance Company ("Al-14.

Buhaira") was and is an insurer, engaged in underwriting marine insurance risks, particularly

P&I risks at the primary layer. On information and belief, Al-Buhaira was the primary P&I

insurer for the AJMAN 2 at all time pertinent to this action.

On April 19, 2006, the United States of America (the "Government") filed 15.

this lawsuit against Defendants Marwan, Five Seas, and S.J. Gargrave Syndicate 2724

("Gargrave").

16. On July 24, 2006, Gargrave filed a Third-Party Complaint against

Navigators and Al-Buhaira. Gargrave amended its Third-Party Complaint on September 20,

2006.

Complaint revolves around the entry of the AJMAN 2 into the territorial waters of Guam on or around August and September, 2004, and the aftermath of that event which includes but is not

The underlying factual basis for the Government's lawsuit and this

limited to substantial damage to property belonging to the Port Authority of Guam, for which

Inchcape has been blamed and said to be liable in the amount of \$1,000,000, and oil pollution

avoidance measures. See Compl., ¶ 20.

17.

18. The Government claims that the AJMAN 2 posed a substantial threat of

pollution to the navigable waters of the United States, and in order to alleviate the substantial

threat of pollution, the Government incurred removal costs and damages within the meaning of

the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, 33 U.S.C. § 2701, et seg. See Compl., ¶¶ 21, 23.

19. The Government also claims that both Marwan and Five Seas owned,

operated, managed, chartered and controlled the AJMAN 2. See Compl., ¶¶ 5-17.

20. Finally, the Government alleges it incurred costs and damages in excess of

\$829,051. See Compl., ¶ 24.

21. Inchcape acknowledges that it served as Five Seas' shipping agent for the

particular voyage of the AJMAN 2 at issue. As shipping agent, Inchcape arranged the necessary

clearances with local authorities for the vessel's entry into the Port of Guam, a port of the United

States.

22. In conjunction with the AJMAN 2's entry into the Port of Guam, Marwan

and/or Five Seas were required by law to apply for, secure, and supply to the United States Coast

Guard a financial guarantee, after which the Coast Guard issues a Certificate of Financial

Responsibility ("COFR") to the vessel, allowing it to come into port in the United States.

23. For a foreign flagged vessel, designating an agent for service of process in the United States is a prerequisite to obtaining a COFR.

24. On their application for a COFR, Marwan and/or Five Seas designated and

appointed Incheape as their agent for service of process. Until recently, Incheape had no

knowledge of this, and never consented to the designation and appointment.

25. Nevertheless, citing Marwan and/or Five Seas' Application for Vessel

Certificate of Financial Responsibility (Water Pollution) appointing Inchcape as agent for service

of process, the Government served its complaint and summons on Five Seas through Inchcape.

See Summons filed May 18, 2006. When Inchcape protested, the Government asserted that

Marwan and/or Five Seas were, at a minimum, estopped from claiming that they could not be

served with process through service on Inchcape since their COFR application appointed

Inchcape as agent for service of process.

26. The COFR application, which lists Inchcape as Marwan and/or Five Seas'

agent for service of process, is filled out and signed by Geoffrey Woodcock, a representative of

Marwan and/or Five Seas, or otherwise acting on Marwan's and/or Five Seas' behalf.

27. Inchcape had no role in filling out the COFR application, was never

informed that Mr. Woodcock had designated and appointed Inchcape, and never consented to

being an agent for service of process. Though the application shows Inchcape as having

executed the "consent" portion of application for the COFR, Inchcape had never given such

consent.

28. Despite not having consented to the appointment as agent for service of

process, Inchcape notified Five Seas of the Government's lawsuit. Inchcape did this to avoid

potential liability to Five Seas on a theory that should Five Seas not get notice and as a result

default, Five Seas would then contend Inchcape caused the default. Inchcape notified Five Seas

on a without prejudice basis.

29. The Port Authority of Guam ("Port") has made a written demand against

Inchcape for \$1,000,000.00, and threatened to sue Inchcape for the same amount to recover for

damage to the Port's wharf caused by the AJMAN 2 when it was in port on or around August or

September, 2004.

30. The Port has informed Inchcape that in conjunction with its claim or

lawsuit against Inchcape, the Port considers that it makes no difference that Five Seas and/or

Marwan owned, operated, managed, chartered and controlled the vessel.

31. Instead, the Port has put Inchcape on notice that the Port considers

Incheape liable for damages caused by the AJMAN 2 on an agency theory.

32. The Port advised Inchcape that absent payment of the Port's claim, the

Port will file its lawsuit before the expiration of the time allotted to file a lawsuit under the

statute of limitations, that is, August 2007. On information and belief, the Port is in the process

of soliciting bids to commence repair of the \$1,000,000.00 worth of damage to the wharf.

33. In light of the Port's position on Inchcape's liability, Inchcape has

diligently tried, without success, to cause Five Seas and/or Marwan and/or Navigators and/or Al-

Buhaira to answer the Port's claim. Five Seas and/or Marwan and/or Navigators and/or Al-

Buhaira have denied any responsibility for the damage caused by the AJMAN 2.

34. Incheape has incurred attorneys fees in costs as a result of Five Seas'

and/or Marwan's conduct, including but not limited to responding to the Port's claim and the

Government's reliance on Five Seas designation of Inchcape as its agent for service of process.

35. On information and belief, Navigators and/or Al-Buhaira had insurance

coverage in place for the AJMAN 2 during the time of the events outlined above.

Notwithstanding certain proof to support this allegation, Navigators and Al-Buhaira have denied

it.

36. In particular, as a prerequisite to issuing the COFR, Environmental

Protection Group LLC ("EPG") required the AJMAN 2's owner to show proof that the AJMAN 2

was entered with a P&I club. Documentation submitted in conjunction with the application for

the COFR displays Navigators as the AJMAN 2's P&I club.

37. The COFR that was issued in this case was arranged by EPG. On

information and belief, the financial responsibility guaranteed by the COFR was underwritten by

Gargrave.

38. Navigators and/or Al-Buhaira covered AJMAN 2 for the type of damages

incurred by the Port of Guam.

39. Navigators and/or Al-Buhaira covered AJMAN 2 for the type of damages

for which the Port of Guam claims Inchcape is liable.

COUNT I: NEGLIGENCE BY FIVE SEAS AND/OR MARWAN

40. Incheape incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through

39 above, as if fully set forth herein.

41. Five Seas and/or Marwan had a duty not to injure Inchcape, expose

Inchcape to liability, cause Inchcape to incur expenses properly the responsibility of Marwan

and/or Five Seas, or otherwise act unreasonably with respect to representing to third parties the

scope of Inchcape's agency.

42. Five Seas and/or Marwan breached such duty owed to Inchcape.

43. Five Seas' and/or Marwan's breach of duty has caused Inchcape damages

and have forced Inchcape to incur attorneys fees and costs.

44. Furthermore, Five Seas' and/or Marwan's breach of duty has substantially

undermined Inchcape's defenses to the Port of Guam's claim for damages caused by the AJMAN

2, thereby exposing Inchcape to liability of \$1,000,000.00 which does not rest with Inchcape but

rather with Five Seas and/or Marwan. The precise amount of damages resulting from this breach

of duty will be proven at trial.

45. Inchcape seeks recovery for damages and attorneys fees and costs

resulting from Five Seas' and/or Marwan's negligent and false representations.

COUNT II: FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF WITH RESPECT TO NEGLIGENCE BY FIVE SEAS AND/OR MARWAN

46. Incheape incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through

45 above, as if fully set forth herein.

47. Because of Five Seas' and/or Marwan's negligence, an actual controversy

exists between the United States, Five Seas, Marwan, the Port of Guam and Inchcape as to the

scope of Inchcape's actual agency.

48. Incheape is therefore entitled to, and hereby seeks from this Court, a

declaration of its rights and obligations. Incheape seeks a declaration from the Court under 28

U.S.C. § 2201 that it is not Five Seas' and/or Marwan's agent for service of process and that its

shipping agency terminated upon AJMAN 2's departure from Guam.

COUNT III: INDEMNIFICATION FROM FIVE SEAS AND/OR MARWAN

49. Incheape incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through

48 above, as if fully set forth herein.

- 50. Because of Five Seas' and/or Marwan's conduct during and after the AJMAN 2's port call at Guam, and further upon Five Seas' and/or Marwan's negligence, Inchcape has been exposed to claims and liability to the Port of Guam in an amount approximating \$1,000,000.00.
 - 51. Marwan and/or Five Seas must indemnify Inchcape for this amount.
- 52. In the alternative, Inchcape is entitled to, and hereby seeks from this Court, a declaration of its rights and obligations. Inchcape seeks a declaration from the Court under 28 U.S.C. § 2201 that Five Seas and/or Marwan are directly liable to, and must answer, the Port of Guam's \$1,000,000 claim against Inchcape.

COUNT IV: FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF WITH RESPECT TO INSURANCE COVERAGE OF THE AJMAN 2

- 53. Inchcape incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 52 above, as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. Marwan and/or Five Seas secured insurance to cover incidents such as the damages claimed by the Port.
- 55. Marwan and/or Five Seas and Navigators and/or Al-Buhaira have denied such coverage.
- 56. Inchcape seeks a declaration from the Court under 28 U.S.C. § 220' that Marwan and/or Five Seas have insurance coverage to answer the Port claims against Inchcape.
- 57. Inchcape also seeks a declaration that Navigators and/or Al-Buhaira insured the AJMAN 2 for damages including wharf damage caused to the Port.

COUNT V: INDEMNIFICATION FROM NAVIGATORS AND AL-RUHAIRA

58. Inchcape incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 57 above, as if fully set forth herein.

59. Navigators and/or Al-Buhaira are responsible to pay for wharf damage

caused by the AJMAN 2.

60. Any liability from Inchcape to the Port, which Inchcape vigorously

contests, is passive and secondary in nature, as opposed to the active and primary fault and

breaches of contract committed by Navigators and/or Al-Buhaira.

COUNT VI: CONTRIBUTION AGAINST AL-BUHAIRA AND NAVIGATORS

61. Inchcape incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through

60 above, as if fully set forth herein.

62. As a direct and proximate result of Navigators and/or Al-Buhaira's

breaches of contract and of their respective duties to their insureds, and to others, Inchcape has

suffered and will continue to suffer various damages to be proven at trial.

63. As a result of Inchcape's potentially having to pay amounts in excess of its

proper liability, if any, Inchcape is and will be entitled to legal and/or equitable contribution

from Al-Buhaira and/or Navigators, and each of them, for some or all of Inchcape's respective

liabilities.

COUNT VII: TORT OF ANOTHER AGAINST AL-BUHAIRA AND NAVIGATORS

64. Inchcape incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through

63 above, as if fully set forth herein.

65. On information and belief, Al-Buhaira and Navigators made

misrepresentations and omissions regarding insurance coverage, their respective liabilities at

issue in this proceeding, the condition and state of the vessel, her flag and registry, the type of

voyage she was engaged, and the extent and nature of her equipment. Inchcape further alleges

that Al-Buhaira and/or Navigators breached their duties under their policies of P&I insurance,

and otherwise. As a direct and proximate result of these negligent and intentional

misrepresentations and omissions, and breaches of duty and of contract by Al-Buhaira and Navigators, and each of them, Inchcape is being forced to respond to the Port's claim in place of Al-Buhaira and/or Navigators.

66. Inchcape has incurred substantial attorney fees and costs in responding to the Port's claim, and will continue to incur such fees and costs until the issues involved herein are resolved. Inchcape is entitled to recover these fees and costs from Al-Buhaira and/or Navigators, plus full indemnity for any liability of Inchcape herein, under the doctrine of wrongful act or wrongful tort of another.

WHEREFORE Inchcape prays:

- 1. For an Order declaring that Inchcape is not Five Seas' and/or Marwan's agent for service of process and that Inchcape's status as shipping agent terminated when the AJMAN 2 departed Guam in August or September 2004.
- 2. For injunctive relief, enjoining Five Seas and/or Marwan from further appointing Inchcape as its agent for service of process without Inchcape's consent.
- 3. For damages incurred as a result of the harm to Port facilities caused by the AJMAN 2 consisting primarily of but not limited to attorneys fees, costs, and consequential damages, all to be proven specifically at trial.
- 4. For attorneys fees and costs incurred as a result of Five Seas' and/or Marwan's negligently designating Inchcape as its agent for service of process; alternatively for indemnification of damages flowing from Five Seas' and/or Marwan negligent appointment of Inchcape as agent for service of process.
- 5. For indemnification from Five Seas and/or Marwan to cover Inchcape's liability on the Port of Guam's written demand for damage caused by the AJMAN 2;

4843-3792-5377.4.014026-00020 Case 1:06-cv-00011 alternatively for a declaration that Marwan and/or Five Seas must answer the Port's claim and

demand directly.

6. For a finding that Five Seas and/or Marwan has P&I coverage for the

harm caused to Port of Guam facilities.

7. For indemnification and/or contribution from Five Seas and/or Marwan

and/or Navigators and/or Al-Buhaira to cover Inchcape's liability on the Port of Guam's written

demand for damage caused by the AJMAN 2; alternatively for a declaration that Marwan and/or

Five Seas and/or Navigators and/or Al-Buhaira must answer the Port's claim and demand

directly.

8. For such other and further relief as the Court directs.

DATED: Hagåtña, Guam, November 30, 2006.

CARLSMITH BALL LLP

DAVID LEDGER

ELYZE J. MCDONALD Attorneys for Intervenor

Inchcape Shipping Services Guam LLC